

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/077,039

Applicant(s)

LIN ET AL.

Examiner

Ajay M. Bhatia

Art Unit

2145

All Participants:(1) Ajay M. Bhatia.(2) CHAN, RAYMOND 37484.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 October 2005**Time:** 4pm**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Non-Final

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner attempted contacted applicants representative, they informed examiner that they have not yet responded to non-final action, and that a response is over due. Examiner communicated with Ashley, applicant's representative's assistant. Examiner attempted to make contact with attorney of record multiple times on the October 14, 19, 20 and 21 applicant's representative failed to make any attempt to return examiner phone call. .